

Appl. No. : 09/598,889
Applicant : Christopher Kershaw, et al.
Filed : June 21, 2000
TC/A.U. : 2179
Examiner : Chuong, Truc T.
Docket : 339901-910800
No.
Customer : 29585
No.

Confirmation No. 6362

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT MAILED JUNE 28, 2006

Sir:

Responsive to the Official Action, mailed June 28, 2006, requiring an election between Group I, claims 1-6, and Group II, claims 7-11, 12-14 and 15, Applicant hereby elects Group I, claims 1-6.

Although the Examiner has characterized claims 1-6 as "drawn to insert tags into reports," Applicant respectfully disagrees. As explained at page 9 of Applicant's brief on appeal, for example, in claim 1, a common tag is employed, in the information for each of the plurality of different items, to identify passages which relate to a category of information. As explained, for example, at p. 4, line 5 through p. 5, line 30, Figs. 1-3, and page 6, lines 9-12, Fig. 4, of the subject application, use of these common tags permits the passages associated with the common tag (relating to a category of information), to be quickly displayed in the


Appl. No. 09/598,889
Response to Restriction Requirement
Dated September 8, 2006

associated display areas for the associated items, so that these tagged passages
can be observed at the same time for the different items.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 8, 2006

DLA Piper US LLP

By: 

Gerald T. Sekimura
Reg. No. 30,103
Tel.: 415-836-2500

Attn: Patent Department
DLA Piper US LLP
153 Townsend Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94107